ORIGINAL 4



## MEMORANDUM

DECEIVED

TO:

THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

Open reserving ITTM

DATE: April 16, 2001

2001 APR 17 A 10: 45

AZ CORP COMMISSION JOSUMENT CONTROL

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC., CALIFORNIA, INC.-ARIZONA, FOR APPROVAL F/K/A GTE INTERCONNECTION, RESALE AND UNBUNDLING AGREEMENT WITH REFLEX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (DOCKET NOS. T-01846B-01-0194 AND T-03768A-01-0194)

On March 5, 2001, Verizon California, Inc., ("Verizon") f/k/a GTE California Inc.-Arizona, filed an application for approval of an Interconnection, Resale and Unbundling Agreement between Verizon, and Reflex Communications Inc. ("Reflex"). The term of the Agreement shall be effective upon Commission approval and remain in effect until January 15, 2003. Upon expiration, the Agreement shall remain in force and effect until terminated by either party on ninety (90) days written notice. The Agreement governs the terms and conditions under which Verizon will offer interconnection to Reflex.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act") directed incumbent local exchange carriers to make their networks available for interconnection and resale by new entrants to the local exchange market. The 1996 Act provides for interconnection and resale agreements to be concluded by voluntary negotiation. This Agreement between Verizon and Reflex was voluntarily negotiated, without resort to arbitration.

Under the terms of the Agreement, Verizon will provide specified local exchange services for Interconnection, Resale, Collocation and Unbundled Network Elements to Reflex. Generally, Verizon services will be made available to Reflex for resale at a 10.9 percent discount for resale services.

According to the 1996 Act and State Rule, the Commission must approve voluntarily negotiated interconnection and resale agreements if their provisions are non-discriminatory and in the public interest. Staff has reviewed the Agreement and finds it to be non-discriminatory and in the public interest. Verizon is offering the same terms and conditions of the Agreement to all other interested parties. The Agreement is in the public interest because it will act to further competition in the local exchange market in Arizona.

> Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

> > APR 1 7 2001

DOCKETED BY

THE COMMISSION April 16, 2001 Page 2

Since there are no grounds for rejection of the Agreement pursuant to Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act, Staff recommends that the Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement between Verizon and Reflex.

Deborah R. Scott

Director

**Utilities Division** 

DRS:EAA:jbc/MAS

ORIGINATOR: Erinn Andreasen

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	
3	Chairman JIM IRVIN	
4	Commissioner MARC SPITZER	
5	Commissioner	
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION )	DOCKET NOS. T-01846B-01-0194
7	OF VERIZON, INC. F/K/A GTE CALIFORNIA, ) INC -ARIZONA, FOR APPROVAL OF A )	T-03768A-01-0194
	INTERCONNECTION, RESALE AND )	DECISION NO.
	UNBUNDLING AGREEMENT WITH ) REFLEX COMUNICATIONS, INC. )	<u>ORDER</u>
9		
10	Open Meeting	
11	May 1 and 2, 2001 Phoenix, Arizona	
12	BY THE COMMISSION:	

## FINDINGS OF FACT

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

27

- On March 5, 2001, Verizon California Inc. ("Verizon") f/k/a GTE California Inc.-1. Arizona, filed an application for approval of an Interconnection, Resale and Unbundling Agreement between Verizon, and Reflex Communications Inc. ("Reflex"). The term of the Agreement shall be effective upon Commission approval and remain in effect until January 15, 2003. Upon expiration, the Agreement shall remain in force and effect until terminated by either party on ninety (90) days written notice. The Agreement governs the terms and conditions under which Verizon will offer interconnection to Reflex.
- 2. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act"), directed incumbent local 22 exchange carriers to make their networks available for interconnection and resale by new entrants to the local exchange market. The 1996 Act provides for interconnection and resale agreements to 24 be concluded by voluntary negotiation.
- 3. This Agreement between Verizon and Reflex was voluntarily negotiated, without 26 resort to arbitration.
  - 4. Under the terms of the Agreement, Verizon will provide specified local exchange services for Interconnection, Resale, Collocation and Unbundled Network Elements to Reflex.

3

10

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Generally, Verizon services will be made available to Reflex for resale at a 10.9 percent discount for resale services.

- According to the 1996 Act and Commission Rule, the Commission must approve voluntarily negotiated interconnection and resale agreements, if their provisions are non-5 discriminatory and in the public interest.
- Staff has reviewed the Agreement and finds it to be non-discriminatory and in the 6. 7 public interest. Verizon is offering the same terms and conditions of the Agreement to all other linterested parties. The Agreement is in the public interest because it will act to further competition in the local exchange market in Arizona.
- Since there are no grounds for rejection of the Agreement pursuant to Section 11 || 252(e)(2)(A), of the 1996 Act, Staff has recommended that the Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement between Verizon and Reflex.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Verizon is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, 1. Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- The Commission has jurisdiction over Reflex and over the subject matter of the 2. Application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the Application and Staff's Memorandum has determined that the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Verizon and Reflex meets the requirements of Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act, which governs the approval of voluntarilynegotiated agreements and is in the public interest.
- The Commission maintains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Agreement 4. and Amendments thereof, to the extent permitted pursuant to the powers granted the Commission by the Arizona Constitution, Statutes, Commission Rule, and the 1996 Act and the Rules promulgated thereunder.

Decision No.

Page 3

CHAIRMAN

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 DRS:EAA:jbc/MAS

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Decision No.

Decision No.

28